Martha G. Bronitsky, #127583 Chapter 13 Standing Trustee Leo G. Spanos, #261837, Staff Attorney Nima Ghazvini, #254758, Staff Attorney Po Box 5004 Hayward,CA 94540 (510) 266- 5580 13trustee@oak13.com

Trustee for Debtor(s)

# THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

In re

Marilyn S Simeon

Chapter 13 Case No. 17-42989-RLE13

Chapter 13 Trustee's Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan and Motion to Dismiss Chapter 13 Case

Debtor

## **Plan Objection Information**

Trustee Objects To: Chapter 13 Plan

Dated: 11/30/2017

Filed: November 30, 2017

Docket#: 2

#### **Motion To Dismiss Information**

☐ Trustee Seeks To Dismiss the Case

☑ Trustee Does Not Seek to Dismiss the Case

(See II. Motion To Dismiss Below)

#### **Case Information**

Petition Filed: November 30, 2017 Attorney Name: ERIC J GRAVEL ATTY

#### I. Objection

Martha G. Bronitsky, Chapter 13 Trustee in the above referenced matter, opposes confirmation of the Chapter 13 Plan on the following grounds:

Case: 17-42989 Doc# 22 Filed: 12/28/17 Entered: 12/28/17 15:34:21 Page 1 of 6

# (A.) Chapter 13 Plan 11 U.S.C. 1322, 1324, 1325 and 1326

(1) The plan fails to provide for submission of all or such portion of future earnings or other future income to the supervision and control of the Trustee as is necessary for execution of the plan. [11 U.S.C.§1322(a)]		
Facts: The plan will not fund the distributions in the first 9 months and does not fund overall. Months 1-2 proposes distributions of \$2,900 Attorney Fee + \$3,000 Mortgage Payment + IRS \$1,741.58 + Trustee Fee \$326.80 = \$8, 268.38. Months 3-9 proposes \$3,000 Mortgage Payment + IRS \$1,741.58 + Trustee Fee \$326.80 = \$5,3568.38. The plan payment proposed is \$3,800. Notwithstanding the monthly disbursement issues the plan does not fund overall.		
☐ (2) The plan fails to provide for the full payment, in deferred cash payments, of all claims entitled to priority under 507 of this title [11 U.S.C.§1322(a)]		
Facts:		
(3) The plan fails to provide for the same treatment of claims classified within a particular class. [11 U.S.C.§1322(a)]		
Facts:		
(4) The plan unfairly discriminates between a class or classes of unsecured claims.[11 U.S.C.§1322(b)]		
Facts:		
i acts.		
(5) The plan impermissibly modifies the claim of the creditor secured only by a security interest in real property that is the Debtor's principal residence. [11 U.S.C.§1322(b)]		
$\Box$ (5) The plan impermissibly modifies the claim of the creditor secured only by a security interest in real		
(5) The plan impermissibly modifies the claim of the creditor secured only by a security interest in real property that is the Debtor's principal residence. [11 U.S.C.§1322(b)]		
□ (5) The plan impermissibly modifies the claim of the creditor secured only by a security interest in real property that is the Debtor's principal residence. [11 U.S.C.§1322(b)]  Facts: □ (6) The plan fails to provide for the value, as of the effective date of the plan, of property to be distributed under the plan on account of each allowed unsecured claim is at least the amount that would be paid on such claim if the estate of the Debtor was liquidated under Chapter 7 of this title on such date. [11 U.S.C.§1325(a)		
□ (5) The plan impermissibly modifies the claim of the creditor secured only by a security interest in real property that is the Debtor's principal residence. [11 U.S.C.§1322(b)]  Facts: □ (6) The plan fails to provide for the value, as of the effective date of the plan, of property to be distributed under the plan on account of each allowed unsecured claim is at least the amount that would be paid on such claim if the estate of the Debtor was liquidated under Chapter 7 of this title on such date. [11 U.S.C.§1325(a) (4)]		
☐ (5) The plan impermissibly modifies the claim of the creditor secured only by a security interest in real property that is the Debtor's principal residence. [11 U.S.C.§1322(b)]  Facts: ☐ (6) The plan fails to provide for the value, as of the effective date of the plan, of property to be distributed under the plan on account of each allowed unsecured claim is at least the amount that would be paid on such claim if the estate of the Debtor was liquidated under Chapter 7 of this title on such date. [11 U.S.C.§1325(a) (4)]  Facts: ☐ (7) The Plan is not feasible. The Debtor will not be able to make all payments under the plan and comply		

Facts:
Case: 17-42989 Doc# 22 Filed: 12/28/17 Entered: 12/28/17 15:34:21 Page 2 of 6

(9) The Debtor(s) have not filed all applicable tax returns required by 11 U.S.C. §1325(a)(9)		
Facts:		
(10) The plan does not provide for the payment of equal monthly amounts to allowed secured claims. [11 U.S.C.§1325(a)(B)(ii)(I)]		
Facts:		
☐ (11) The plan has not been proposed in good faith [11 U.S.C. 1325(a)(3)] and/or the action of the Debtor in filing the petition was in bad faith. [11 U.S.C.§1325 (a)(7)]		
Facts:		
$\Box$ (12) The plan provides for payments to creditors for a period longer than five (5) years [11 U.S.C.§1322(d)]		
Facts:		
$\square$ (13) With respect to each allowed secured claim provided for by the plan, the plan fails to provide for the value, as of the effective date of the plan, of property to be distributed under the plan on account of each allowed amount of such claim. [11 U.S.C.§ 1325(a)(5)(B)(ii)]		
Facts:		
(14) Other: Failure to Complete the Mortgage Modification Mediation Program		
Facts: The plan calls for the debtor to participate in the Mortgage Modification Mediation Program sponsored by the Court. While the debtor has received an Order of Referral to the Program no final decision has been made. The plan cannot be confirmed until a final decision is made and an amended plan complying with the provisions of the decision is filed.		
(B) 11 U.S.C. 1325 (b)(1) Disposable Income		
$\Box$ (15) The plan does not provide for all of the Debtor's projected disposable income ("DMI") to be applied to unsecured creditors under the plan.		
Facts:		
(C) Local Rules		
$\Box$ (16) Debtor failed to file, serve and set a motion to value collateral or failed to obtain the motion to value order.		
Facts:		

Case: 17-42989 Doc# 22 Filed: 12/28/17 Entered: 12/28/17 15:34:21 Page 3 of 6

☐ (17) Debtor failed to utilize the standard form Chapter 13 Plan effective 08/01/2013.		
Facts:		
☐ (18) The plan provides for the payments of fees in excess of the fixed compensation allowed by the Oakland Division Fee Guidelines.		
Facts:		
☐ (19) Other		
Facts:		
II. Motion to Dismiss Chapter 13 Case		
The Trustee seeks dismissal of the Chapter 13 Petition on the following grounds:		
$\Box$ (1) Payments to the Trustee are not current under the Debtor(s) proposed plan. [11 U.S.C.§1307(c)(1), (4) and/or (c) (6)]		
Facts:		
☐ (2) Unreasonable delay by the Debtor that is prejudicial to creditors. [11 U.S.C.§ 1307(c)(1)]		
Facts:		
(3) Failure to file or provide documents to the Trustee as required by 11 U.C.S.§ 521(a)(1), (3), or (4). [11 U.S.C.§ 1307 (c); 11 U.S.C. 521		
Facts:		
(4) Cause: Failure to file tax return.		
Facts:		
(5) Failure to confirm a Chapter 13 Plan. 11 U.S.C§ [1307(c)(1), (3)]		
Facts:		
$\Box$ (6) Other:		
Facts:		
Other Documents Filed Concurrently Herewith		
☐ Other:		

Case: 17-42989 Doc# 22 Filed: 12/28/17 Entered: 12/28/17 15:34:21 Page 4 of 6

III. Trustee's Recommendation/Analysis		
The current plan is not confirmable and an amended plan will be required to be filed.	☐ No confirmable plan can be filed based on the facts of this case.	
☐ A briefing schedule should be set and the parties should file a statement of disputed and undisputed facts.	☐ Other:	
WHEREFORE, the Trustee requests:		
✓ That the Trustee's objection to confirmation of the plan be sustained.		
☐ That the Trustee's objection to confirmation of the plan be sustained and a bar date to achieve confirmation be set.		
☐ That the Debtor's case be dismissed.		
Such other and further relief as the court deems proper.		
Date: 12/28/2017	/s/ Trustee Martha G. Bronitsky Trustee Martha G. Bronitsky Chapter 13 Trustee	

Case: 17-42989 Doc# 22 Filed: 12/28/17 Entered: 12/28/17 15:34:21 Page 5 of 6

## Certificate of Service

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have served a copy of the within and foregoing document on the Debtor, Counsel for Debtor, and if applicable, the Creditor, Creditor representatives and the registered agent for the Creditor by depositing it in the United States Mail with First Class postage attached thereto.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: December 28, 2017 /s/ Lydia Santiago

Lydia Santiago

Marilyn S Simeon Eric J Gravel Atty

Po Box 1950 350 Rhode Island St #240 Union City,CA 94587 San Francisco,CA 94103

Debtor (Counsel for Debtor)

Case: 17-42989 Doc# 22 Filed: 12/28/17 Entered: 12/28/17 15:34:21 Page 6 of 6